

Approximating almost all instances of MAX-CUT within a ratio above the Håstad threshold*

A.C. Kaporis[†] L.M. Kirousis^{†‡} E. C. Stavropoulos[†]

August 24, 2006

1 The upper bound

We have n vertices, out of which n_0n are red, n_1n are blue and $n_0 + n_1 = 1$. Also, we have bn edges, out of which $b_{00}n$ are red-red, $b_{11}n$ are blue-blue, $b_{01}n = b_{10}n$ are red-blue (that equal blue-red ones) and $b_{01} + b_{00} + b_{11} = b$.

We fix a degree sequence $\langle d_0n, d_1n, \dots, d_{n-1}n \rangle$ where d_in equals the number of vertices of degree $i = 0, \dots, d_{n-1}$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{d_{n-1}} d_i = 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{d_{n-1}} id_i = b$.

We denote as $\delta(0, i, s)$ the scaled number of red colored vertices of degree i and s edges towards blue colored vertices. Similarly we denote $\delta(1, i, s)$. Then for each $i = 0, \dots, n-1, s = 0, \dots, i$ it holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_i &= \sum_s (\delta(0, i, s) + \delta(1, i, s)), i = 0, \dots, n-1, s = 0, \dots, i. \\
 b_{01} &= \sum_{i,s \leq i} s\delta(0, i, s), i = 0, \dots, n-1, s = 0, \dots, i. \\
 b_{10} &= \sum_{i,s \leq i} s\delta(1, i, s) = b_{01}, i = 0, \dots, n-1, s = 0, \dots, i. \\
 b_{00} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,s \leq i} (i-s)\delta(0, i, s), i = 0, \dots, n-1, s = 0, \dots, i. \\
 b_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,s \leq i} (i-s)\delta(1, i, s), i = 0, \dots, n-1, s = 0, \dots, i.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We define as

$$N = |\{\langle G, C \rangle : G \text{ is a pairing and } C \text{ a cut satisfying the constraints in (1)}\}| \tag{2}$$

Then

$$N = \binom{n}{\delta(k, i, s); k = 0, 1, i = 0, \dots, d_{n-1}, 0 \leq s \leq i} \prod_{i,s} \binom{i}{s}^{\delta(0,i,s) + \delta(1,i,s)} (b_{01}n)!(2b_{00}n)!!(2b_{11}n)!!$$

*This research is partially supported by European Social Fund (ESF), Operational Program for Educational and Vocational Training II (EPEAEK II), and particularly *Pythagoras*. The second author is also partially supported by Future and Emerging Technologies programme of the EU under contract 001907 “*Dynamically Evolving, Large-Scale Information Systems (DELIS)*.”

[†]University of Patras, Department of Computer Engineering and Informatics, GR-265 04 Patras, Greece, email: {kaporis,kirousis, estavrop}@ceid.upatras.gr.

[‡]Research Academic Computer Technology Institute, P.O. Box 1122, GR-261 10 Patras, Greece.

$$\asymp \prod_{i,s} \left\{ \left(\frac{\binom{i}{s}}{\delta(0,i,s)} \right)^{\delta(0,i,s)} \times \left(\frac{\binom{i}{s}}{\delta(1,i,s)} \right)^{\delta(1,i,s)} \right\} \times \frac{b_{01}^{b_{01}} b_{00}^{b_{00}} b_{11}^{b_{11}} 2^{b_{00}+b_{11}}}{e^b} \quad (3)$$

2 Majority cuts

When the b 's in (3) above are fixed and constant, we may maximize

$$N = \prod_{k,i,s} \left\{ \left(\frac{\binom{i}{s}}{\delta(k,i,s)} \right)^{\delta(k,i,s)} \right\} = \left(\frac{1}{d_0} \right)^{d_0} \prod_{k,i \geq 1, s} \left\{ \left(\frac{\binom{i}{s}}{\delta(k,i,s)} \right)^{\delta(k,i,s)} \right\} \quad (4)$$

where in Expression* (4) we have placed into color 0 all $d_0 n$ isolated vertices. In other words, the δ 's that rule the 0-degree vertices take the form:

$$\delta(0,0,0) = d_0, \delta(1,0,0) = 0 \quad (5)$$

- A *majority cut* has all the non-isolated k -colored vertices with at least half of their i stemming semi-edges directed to $(k+1)$ -colored vertices. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(k,i,s) &= 0, \quad \forall i \text{ even and } 0 \leq s \leq \frac{i}{2} - 1 \\ \delta(k,i,s) &= 0, \quad \forall i \text{ odd and } 0 \leq s \leq \frac{i-1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

- Also a majority cut has no 1-colored vertex of even degree i with exactly $\frac{i}{2}$ stemming semi-edges touching 0-colored vertices. That is, in addition to (6) we also require

$$\delta(1,i,\frac{i}{2}) = 0, \quad \forall i \text{ even} \quad (7)$$

The majority δ 's in (6) plus (7) will have to satisfy the b 's constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_i : d_i &= \begin{cases} \sum_{s \geq \frac{i}{2}} \delta(0,i,s) + \sum_{s \geq \frac{i}{2}+1} \delta(1,i,s), & i \text{ even} \\ \sum_{s \geq \frac{i+1}{2}} \delta(0,i,s) + \sum_{s \geq \frac{i+1}{2}} \delta(1,i,s), & i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\ \Lambda_{01} : b_{01} &= \sum_{s \geq \frac{i}{2}} s \delta(0,i = \text{even}, s) + \sum_{s \geq \frac{i+1}{2}} s \delta(0,i = \text{odd}, s) \\ \Lambda_{10} : b_{10} &= \sum_{s \geq \frac{i}{2}+1} s \delta(1,i = \text{even}, s) + \sum_{s \geq \frac{i+1}{2}} s \delta(1,i = \text{odd}, s) \\ \Lambda_{00} : b_{00} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{s \geq \frac{i}{2}} (i-s) \delta(0,i = \text{even}, s) + \sum_{s \geq \frac{i+1}{2}} (i-s) \delta(0,i = \text{odd}, s) \right) \\ \Lambda_{11} : b_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{s \geq \frac{i}{2}+1} (i-s) \delta(1,i = \text{even}, s) + \sum_{s \geq \frac{i+1}{2}} (i-s) \delta(1,i = \text{odd}, s) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

*It is easy to show the convexity of it with respect to δ 's. This is crucial for justifying the uniqueness of the solution of the non-linear system (18). In other words, the local optimum computed via Lagrange multipliers is also a global one.

Taking the derivatives of the logarithm of (4)

$$\frac{\partial \ln N}{\partial \delta(k, i, s)} = \ln \left(\frac{\binom{i}{s}}{\delta(k, i, s)} \right) - 1 = \frac{1}{2}(i-s)\Lambda_{kk} + s\Lambda_{k\bar{k}} + \Lambda_i, k = 0, 1 \quad (9)$$

By safely renaming the Lagrange multipliers in (9) we get

$$\frac{\binom{i}{s}}{\delta(k, i, s)} = \Lambda_i \Lambda_{kk}^{-\frac{1}{2}(i-s)} \Lambda_{k\bar{k}}^{-s} \quad (10)$$

We plug (10) into (4) and using the constraints in (8) we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k,i,s} \left\{ \left(\frac{\binom{i}{s}}{\delta(k, i, s)} \right)^{\delta(k,i,s)} \right\} &= \prod_{k,i,s} \left\{ \left(\Lambda_i \Lambda_{kk}^{-\frac{1}{2}(i-s)} \Lambda_{k\bar{k}}^{-s} \right)^{\delta(k,i,s)} \right\} \\ &= \prod_i \left(\Lambda_i^{\sum_{k,s} \delta(k,i,s)} \right) \prod_{k=0,1} \left(\Lambda_{kk}^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,s} (i-s) \delta(k,i,s)} \Lambda_{k\bar{k}}^{-\sum_{i,s} s \delta(k,i,s)} \right) \\ &= \prod_i \left(\Lambda_i^{d_i} \right) \prod_{k=0,1} \left(\Lambda_{kk}^{-b_{kk}} \Lambda_{k\bar{k}}^{-b_{k\bar{k}}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We can simplify multiplier Λ_i in (11) by manipulating (10) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_i \delta(k, i, s) &= \binom{i}{s} \Lambda_{kk}^{\frac{1}{2}(i-s)} \Lambda_{k\bar{k}}^s \\ \sum_{k,s} \Lambda_i \delta(k, i, s) &= \begin{cases} \sum_{s \geq \frac{i}{2}} \binom{i}{s} \Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}(i-s)} \Lambda_{01}^s + \sum_{s \geq \frac{i+1}{2}} \binom{i}{s} \Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}(i-s)} \Lambda_{10}^s, & \text{if } i \text{ even} \\ \sum_{s \geq \frac{i+1}{2}} \binom{i}{s} \Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}(i-s)} \Lambda_{01}^s + \sum_{s \geq \frac{i+1}{2}} \binom{i}{s} \Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}(i-s)} \Lambda_{10}^s, & \text{if } i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\ \Lambda_i d_i &= \begin{cases} \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) + \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right), & i \text{ even} \\ \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) + \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right), & i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\Phi_{i,t}(x, y) = (x+y)^i - \sum_{s=0}^t \binom{i}{s} y^s x^{i-s} \quad (13)$$

By (12) for majority cuts the objective function (4) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k,i,s} \left\{ \left(\frac{\binom{i}{s}}{\delta(k, i, s)} \right)^{\delta(k,i,s)} \right\} &= \prod_i \left\{ \left(\frac{\Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) + \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - I_i} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right)}{d_i} \right)^{d_i} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=0,1} \left(\Lambda_{kk}^{-b_{kk}} \Lambda_{k\bar{k}}^{-b_{k\bar{k}}} \right) \\ &\quad \text{where } I_i = \begin{cases} 0, & i \text{ even} \\ 1, & i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Expression (14) is implicit functions of the 4-tuple of the Lagrange multipliers

$$\mathcal{L} = \langle \Lambda_{01}, \Lambda_{10}, \Lambda_{00}, \Lambda_{11} \rangle \quad (15)$$

We can derive implicitly the values of (15) as follows. For majority cuts, by (12) expression (10) can be written:

$$\delta(k, i, s) = \frac{d_i}{\Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) + \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - I_i} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right)} \binom{i}{s} \Lambda_{kk}^{\frac{1}{2}(i-s)} \Lambda_{k\bar{k}}^s \quad (16)$$

Majority 4×4 . For the majority case, taking appropriate summations of the δ 's in (16) and using the constraints (8) we can express each 4-tuple of given b 's

$$\mathcal{B}(b) = \langle b_{01}, b_{10}, b_{00}, b_{11} \rangle \quad (17)$$

as functions of the Λ 's in (15).

$$\begin{aligned} b_{01} &= \Lambda_{01} \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\max}} \left(d_i \frac{\left\{ \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) \right\}'_{\Lambda_{01}}}{\Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) + \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - I_i} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right)} \right) \\ b_{10} &= \Lambda_{10} \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\max}} \left(d_i \frac{\left\{ \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - I_i} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right) \right\}'_{\Lambda_{01}}}{\Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) + \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - I_i} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right)} \right) \\ b_{00} &= \Lambda_{00} \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\max}} \left(d_i \frac{\left\{ \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) \right\}'_{\Lambda_{00}}}{\Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) + \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - I_i} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right)} \right) \\ b_{11} &= \Lambda_{11} \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\max}} \left(d_i \frac{\left\{ \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - I_i} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right) \right\}'_{\Lambda_{11}}}{\Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) + \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - I_i} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

2.1 Optimization via a 4×4 system

The objective function (3) now becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathcal{B}(b)) &= \left(\frac{1}{2b} \right)^b \prod_i \left\{ \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\Lambda_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{01} \right) + \Phi_{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor - I_i} \left(\Lambda_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Lambda_{10} \right) \right\}^{d_i} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{b_{01}}{\Lambda_{01}} \right)^{b_{01}} \left(\frac{b_{00}}{\Lambda_{00}} \right)^{b_{00}} \left(\frac{b_{11}}{\Lambda_{11}} \right)^{b_{11}} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_{10}} \right)^{b_{10}} 2^{b_{00}+b_{11}} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where for each fixed tuple of b 's as in (17) the corresponding Λ 's are computed by the numeric solution of system (18).

Optimization target. Given is a fixed constant b which denoted the density of the random graph. The target is to compute the *minimum* $b_{01} = b_{01}(b)$ such that for all 4-tuples

$$\mathcal{B}(b) = \langle b_{01}, b_{10}, b_{00}, b_{11} \rangle \text{ with } b_{10} = b_{01} \text{ and } \frac{b_{01}}{2} + \frac{b_{10}}{2} + b_{00} + b_{11} = b \quad (20)$$

the *majority* function in (19) remains < 1 .